E 10	ACADEMIC MA 1525 Avenue B South North Charleston, Sout				
超圆	GRADES	9-12 Hiç	gh School		
	ENROLLMENT	468 Stu	dents		
MNS.	PRINCIPAL	Carol Te	empel		843-746-1300
200	SUPERINTENDEN	т Dr. Mari	a Goodloe		843-937-6319
(及)	BOARD CHAIR	Mr. Gre	gg Meyers		843-720-8714
	THE STAT	E OF	Sou	тн Саб	ROLINA
MA.	ANNUAL SCH REPORT C			2003	3
MV					
16.	ABSOLUTE RATIN		Schools	exivith Students like	CELLENT
N Y	Excellent Go	ood 0	Average 0	Below Average 0	
A .	IMPROVEMENT R	ATING:			GOOD
180					
DA	ADEQUATE YEAR	LY PROG	RESS:		N/A
MV					
E. 100	SOUTH CAROLIN				
raige.	By 2010, South Carolina the states nationally. To improving systems in the	achieve thi			•
SEA 103	For More In	NFORMA	TION, V	ISIT WEBS	ITES AT:
100	W	WW.WYS			
	WWW.SCEOC.ORG				

PERFORMANCE		

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Excellent	Excellent	N/A
2002	Excellent	Good	N/A
2003	Excellent	Good	N/A
2004			

		Our School	ol	Hig Stud	h Schools w dents Like O	ith urs
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003
Passed all 3 subtests	94.7	98.4	100.0	84.8	84.9	85.1
Passed 2 subtests	4.5	1.6	0.0	8.9	10.1	9.6
Passed 1 subtest	8.0	0.0	0.0	3.9	3.6	3.5
Passed no subtests	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4	1.4	1.5

PERFORMANCE BY 5	TUDENT	GROUPS					
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2003			Eligibility for LIFE Scholarships*		Graduation Rate	
11101	n 101	%	n	%	n	%	
All Students	131	99.2	91	84.6	91	89.0	
Gender	20	00.4	40	07.5	47	20.4	
Male	62	98.4	48	87.5	47	89.4	
Female	69	100.0	43	81.4	44	88.6	
Race or Ethnic Group							
African American	35	100.0	25	68.0	24	87.5	
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
White	85	98.8	57	93.0	56	91.1	
Other	11	100.0	9	77.8	11	81.8	
Disability Status							
Non-speech disabilities	1	I/S	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Students without disabilities	130	99.2	91	84.6	91	89.0	
Migrant Status							
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Non-migrant	131	99.2	91	84.6	0	N/A	
English Proficiency							
Limited English proficient	4	I/S	0	N/A	2	I/S	
Non-LEP	127	99.2	91	84.6	83	95.2	
Lunch Status							
Subsidized meals	9	100.0	4	I/S	6	50.0	
Full-pay meals	122	99.2	87	86.2	85	91.8	
n = number of students on which per	centage is cale	culated					

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	84.6	40.5
Seniors who met the SAT requirement	84.6	41.3
Seniors who met the grade point average	100.0	74.6

^{*}Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

SCHOOL PROFILE	SCHOOL PROFILE							
	OurSchool	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School				
Students (n= 468)								
Retention rate	N/A	N/A	3.5%	7.3%				
Attendance rate	97.1%	Down from 99.0%	96.6%	95.5%				
Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech	53.1% 0.7%	Up from 40.3% Down from 1.5%	6.4% 7.2%	5.1% 12.2%				
Older than usual for grade Suspended or expelled	5.8% 0.0%	Up from 0.4% No change	4.1% 1.7%	10.1% 2.3%				
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	99.0% N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	10.2% N/A				
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No change	0.9%	2.7%				
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	0.0%	No change	0.9%	3.2%				
Enrollment in career/technology cente courses	r 32	Down from 480	551	433				
Students participating in worked-based experiences	24.5%	Down from 61.5%	24.5%	26.3%				
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	84.4%	N/A	85.0%	74.9%				
Career/technology completers placed	N/A	N/A	100.0%	99.5%				
Teachers (n= 33)								
Teachers with advanced degrees	66.7%	Up from 65.8%	67.2%	51.7%				
Continuing contract teachers	81.8%	Up from 71.1%	85.3%	81.8%				
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Teachers returning from previous year	83.8%	Down from 84.3%	85.3%	85.1%				
Teacher attendance rate	96.0%	Up from 95.9%	96.0%	95.8%				
Average teacher salary	\$41,119	Up 2.0%	\$41,640	\$40,303				
Prof. development days/teacher	11.1 days	Down from 12.4 days	11.1 days	10.3 days				
School								
Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio	2.0 19.3 to 1	Up from 1.0 Down from 20.3 to 1	2.5 26.2 to 1	3.0 26.2 to 1				
Prime instructional time	91.4%	Down from 93.9%	91.6%	90.1%				
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$5,755	Up 7.1%	\$5,760	\$6,279				
Percent spent on teacher salaries*	65.5%	Up from 61.2%	58.6%	57.8%				
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	No change	Excellent	Excellent				
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	99.9% yes	Up from 83.3% N/A	98.6% yes	87.8% yes				
* Prior year audited financial data are reported.	•		•	•				

1 1101	you	auditeu	mianolai	uata	aic	reported.	

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The mission of the Academic Magnet High School is to challenge each student, teacher, and parent with the high expectations of a rigorous curriculum; to provide a learning environment that thoroughly prepares students for college and to be citizens of the world; and to encourage in students self esteem, a sense of global responsibility, and respect for cultural diversity.

The students at AMHS represent all areas of Charleston County and, therefore, make up a diverse population. We are very proud of our students and their academic achievements, which have garnered state and national recognition. The faculty and staff are challenged to continuously stimulate and provide our students with a learning environment that is commensurate with their ability, which will ensure that they will achieve at their highest potential. Our learning environment is designed to motivate the students to ask "Why?" and give them the confidence to question the answer. Interdisciplinary approaches and advanced technology are used to teach students to generate ideas, solve problems, and communicate solutions. Students are encouraged to continue with their personal and academic growth upon graduation and become models for those who follow.

AMHS goals are to prepare students to be successful in admission to the college of their choice, to demonstrate academic excellence by achieving recognition in awards and scholarships, to be successful college students, and to develop commitment to their community. AMHS students are challenged with a curriculum that only offers honors courses and above to earn 26 to 30 Carnegie units, do required community service, and complete and defend a Senior Thesis.

Our students

- *100% completed the school's community service requirement.
- *Won many academic awards, such as Junior Academy of Science, Foreign Language
 - national exams, art contest, and Low Country Science Awards.
- *Seniors met the school's requirement of having taken 5 AP courses.
- *Over 90% of students participated in clubs and extracurricular activities.

Also, students and staff received support from PTSA and Booster Clubs through volunteers and money to support the curriculum.

AMHS continues to offer our students the tools, skills, knowledge, and instruction which will help them to be successful leaders in all their future endeavors.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students	Parents				
Number of surveys returned	36	106	12				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	83.3%	81.9%	91.7%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	88.9%	84.0%	58.3%				
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	91.7%	90.6%	91.7%				

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
 - Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.